

INTER-OFFICE MEMO

AR 0021750

TO: Steve Floyd, Superintendent of Water and Wastewater Operations

FROM: Don Clover, Biologist *DC*

DATE: May 17, 2013

RE: Biomonitoring Results - Massard Plant

Please find below the chronic biomonitoring results for the second quarter of 2013. Lethal and sub-lethal toxicity were not experienced in the low-flow dilution of 8% effluent for the *Ceriodaphnia dubia* test organism. The test therefore passes at the low-flow dilution of 8% effluent for lethal and sub-lethal effects. Lethal and sub-lethal toxicity were not experienced in the low-flow dilution of 8% effluent for the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) test. The test therefore passes at the low-flow dilution of 8% effluent for lethal and sub-lethal effects.

Parameter #TGP3B- 0Parameter #TGP6C- 0Parameter #TLP3B- 0Parameter #TLP6C- 0Parameter #TOP3B- 11%Parameter # TOP6C- 11%Parameter #TPP3B- 11%Parameter #TPP6C- 11%Parameter #TQP3B- 20.83%Parameter #TQP6C- 9.04%Prepared By: Don Clover Date: 5/17/13Reviewed By: R.A. O'By Date: 05/21/13

May 01, 2013

RECEIVED
MAY 13 2013
WATER/WASTEWATER

Don Clover
City of Fort Smith
3900 Kelley Hwy.
Fort Smith, AR 72904

RE: Project: MASSARD WWTP BIOMONITORING
Pace Project No.: 60143259

Dear Don Clover:

Enclosed are the analytical results for sample(s) received by the laboratory on April 23, 2013. The results relate only to the samples included in this report. Results reported herein conform to the most current TNI standards and the laboratory's Quality Assurance Manual, where applicable, unless otherwise noted in the body of the report.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,



Connie Sparks

connie.sparks@pacelabs.com
Project Manager

Enclosures

cc: Lance McAvoy, City of Fort Smith



REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc..

CERTIFICATIONS

Project: MASSARD WWTP BIOMONITORING
Pace Project No.: 60143259

Southeast Kansas Certification IDs

808 West McKay, Frontenac, KS 66763
Arkansas Certification #: 12-019-0
Iowa Certification #: 118
Kansas/NELAP Certification #: E-10116
Louisiana Certification #: 03055

Oklahoma Certification #: 2012-051
Texas Certification #: T104704407-12-3
Utah Certification #: KS000212012-2
Minnesota Certification #: 495004

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc..

QUALIFIERS

Project: MASSARD WWTP BIOMONITORING

Pace Project No.: 60143259

DEFINITIONS

DF - Dilution Factor, if reported, represents the factor applied to the reported data due to changes in sample preparation, dilution of the sample aliquot, or moisture content.

ND - Not Detected at or above adjusted reporting limit.

J - Estimated concentration above the adjusted method detection limit and below the adjusted reporting limit.

MDL - Adjusted Method Detection Limit.

PRL - Pace Reporting Limit.

RL - Reporting Limit.

S - Surrogate

1,2-Diphenylhydrazine (8270 listed analyte) decomposes to Azobenzene.

Consistent with EPA guidelines, unrounded data are displayed and have been used to calculate % recovery and RPD values.

LCS(D) - Laboratory Control Sample (Duplicate)

MS(D) - Matrix Spike (Duplicate)

DUP - Sample Duplicate

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

NC - Not Calculable.

SG - Silica Gel - Clean-Up

U - Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.

N-Nitrosodiphenylamine decomposes and cannot be separated from Diphenylamine using Method 8270. The result reported for each analyte is a combined concentration.

Pace Analytical is TNI accredited. Contact your Pace PM for the current list of accredited analytes.

TNI - The NELAC Institute.

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc..

QUALITY CONTROL DATA CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Project: MASSARD WWTP BIOMONITORING
Pace Project No.: 60143259

Lab ID	Sample ID	QC Batch Method	QC Batch	Analytical Method	Analytical Batch
60143259001	MASSARD WWTP EFFLUENT	EPA 821/R-02/013	BIO/1615		

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc..



Sample Condition Upon Receipt

NO#: 60143259
60143259

Client Name: Ft Smith

Courier: Fed Ex UPS USPS Client Commercial Pace Other _____
 Tracking #: _____ Pace Shipping Label Used? Yes No
 Custody Seal on Cooler/Box Present: Yes No Seals intact: Yes No
 Packing Material: Bubble Wrap Bubble Bags Foam None Other _____
 Thermometer Used: T-111 Type of Ice: Wet Blue None Samples on ice, cooling process has begun
 Cooler Temperature: 3.0
 Temperature should be above freezing to 6°C

Optional
 Proj. Due Date:
 Proj. Name:

Date and Initials of person examining contents: 4/23/13 MB 1430

Comments:

Chain of Custody present:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	1.
Chain of Custody filled out:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	2.
Chain of Custody relinquished:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	3.
Sampler name & signature on COC:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	4.
Samples arrived within holding time:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	5.
Short Hold Time analyses (<72hr):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	6.
Rush Turn Around Time requested:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	7.
Sufficient volume:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	8.
Correct containers used:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	9.
-Pace containers used:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Containers intact:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	10.
Unpreserved 5035A soils frozen w/in 48hrs?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	11.
Filtered volume received for dissolved tests	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	12.
Sample labels match COC:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	13.
-Includes date/time/ID/analyses Matrix: <u>cut</u>		
All containers needing preservation have been checked.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	14.
All containers needing preservation are found to be in compliance with EPA recommendation.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Exceptions: VOA, coliform, TOC, O&G, WI-DRO (water), Phenolics	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Initial when completed
		Lot # of added preservative
Trip Blank present:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	15.
Pace Trip Blank lot # (if purchased):		
Headspace in VOA vials (>6mm):	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	16.
Project sampled in USDA Regulated Area:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	17. List State:

Client Notification/ Resolution: Copy COC to Client? (Y) / N Field Data Required? Y / N
 Person Contacted: _____ Date/Time: _____
 Comments/ Resolution: _____

Project Manager Review: CS Date: 4/25/13

Note: Whenever there is a discrepancy affecting North Carolina compliance samples, a copy of this form will be sent to the North Carolina DEHNR Certification Office (i.e. out of hold, incorrect preservative, out of temp, incorrect containers)



REFERENCE #60143259

Pace Analytical Services, Inc.
9608 Loiret Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219
Phone: 913.599.5665
Fax: 913.599.1759

May 2, 2013

Lance McAvoy
City of Fort Smith (Massard)
3900 Kelley HWY
Fort Smith, AR 72904

Re: Lab Project Number: 60143259
Client Project ID: Wet Test

Dear:

Enclosed are the analytical results for sample(s) received by the laboratory. The results relate only to the samples included in this report. Results reported herein conform to the most current NELAC standards, where applicable, unless otherwise narrated in the body of the report.

If you have any question concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Tim Harrell
Tim.Harrell@pacelabs.com
Technical Director

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.



REFERENCE #60143259

Pace Analytical Services, Inc.
9608 Loiret Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219
Phone: 913.599.5665
Fax: 913.599.1759

**CHRONIC TOXICITY TEST FOR
CITY OF FORT SMITH (MASSARD)**

PERMIT # AR 0021750
AFIN # 66-00226

PERFORMED ON:

Pimephales promelas

and

Ceriodaphnia dubia

PREPARED FOR:

Lance McAvoy
City of Fort Smith (Massard)
3900 Kelley HWY
Fort Smith, AR 72904

PREPARED BY:
Pace Analytical Services, Inc.
808 West McKay
Frontenac, KS 66763
1-620-235-0003

May 2, 2013

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SECTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	2
TEST MATERIAL	2
TEST METHODS	2
TEST ORGANISMS	2
RESULTS	3
TEST CONDITIONS	8
TEST VALIDITY	16
CONCLUSIONS	16
APPENDIX A – STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	
APPENDIX B - CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORMS	
APPENDIX C – REFERENCE TOXICANT SUMMARY	
APPENDIX D – State Agency Forms	

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

SUMMARY

A Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity Test using the 7-day chronic fathead minnows (Pimephales promelas), static renewal larval survival and growth test, and three brood 7-day chronic Cladoceran (Ceriodaphnia dubia), static renewal survival and reproduction test, was conducted on effluent discharge water collected at the CITY OF FORT SMITH (MASSARD) effluent discharge from April 22, 2013 to April 26, 2013. All the test methods followed are as listed in EPA 821-R-02-013, "Short Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms."

Statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) mortality is determined by Dunnet's procedure using average percent survival of each test concentration versus the average survival of the controls. If significant mortality occurs, median lethal concentrations (LC50) are calculated using effluent concentrations and their corresponding percent mortality data. The LC50's and the 95% confidence intervals are calculated where appropriate by the Spearman-Kärber method. Statistical analysis is accomplished by following steps in EPA 821-R-02-013, November 2002 and by use of Toxstat version 3.4.

In minnow section of testing, it was observed that the effluent had no significant effect on the survival of the larvae at the 11% concentration. No significant mortality was observed in the other effluent concentrations after the 7-day exposure period. The No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) was determined to be 11% for survival. The LC50 was estimated to be >11% effluent. No significant reduction in growth was observed in the 11% effluent concentration. The Toxic Units is <1. The IC25 is >11. The NOEC for growth in effluent was determined to be 11%. The PMSD is 14.1.

In Cladoceran section of testing, it was observed that the effluent had no significant effect on the survival of the organisms in the 11% effluent concentration. No significant mortality was observed in the other effluent concentrations after the 7-day exposure period. The No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) was determined to be 11% for survival. The LC50 was estimated to be >11% effluent. No significant reduction in reproduction was observed in the 11% effluent concentrations. The Toxic Units is <1. The IC25 is >11. The NOEC for reproduction in effluent was determined to be 11%. The PMSD is 18.7.

The chronic toxicity exhibited by the fathead minnows and the Ceriodaphnia treated by the effluent sampled from April 22 to April 26 from the CITY OF FORT SMITH (MASSARD) effluent discharge, is acceptable as described in EPA 821-R-02-013.

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

INTRODUCTION

Pace Analytical was contracted to perform this chronic toxicity test on effluent from the CITY OF FORT SMITH (MASSARD) effluent discharge. Chronic toxicity was measured using the Pimephales promelas at larval for survival and growth test and the Ceriodaphnia dubia survival and reproduction test described in EPA 821-R-02-013, "Short Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms." The raw data of the study is stored at Pace Analytical Services, INC. 808 West McKay, Frontenac, KS 66763.

TEST MATERIAL

City of Fort Smith (Massard) personnel collected sampling of the effluent. A sample of the effluent was delivered to Pace by commercial carrier on 4-23-13. Subsequent samples followed by delivery on 4-25-13 and on 4-27-13. All samples were stored at $\leq 6^{\circ}$ Celsius. Moderately Hard Synthetic Water was used as a control and also to make the required dilutions in the test as described in EPA 821-R-02-013.

TEST METHODS

Pace used EPA test method 1000.0 for conducting the Fathead Minnow, Pimephales promelas, Larval Survival and Growth Test. EPA test method 1002.0 was used for conducting the Cladoceran, Ceriodaphnia dubia, Survival and Reproduction Test. The tests were conducted to estimate the LC50, NOEC, and LOEC for survival, growth, and reproduction of these test species.

The Pimephales and Ceriodaphnia tests were initiated on 4-23-13 and carried out until 4-30-13. The Pimephales tests were conducted in 500 ml plastic jars with 250 ml of test solution. Eight larvae were placed in each of at least 5 replicates to make a total of 40 larvae per sample concentration. The Ceriodaphnia tests were carried out in 35ml vials containing 25 ml of test solution. One Neonate was placed in each of 10 replicates to make a total of 10 neonates per sample concentration.

TEST ORGANISMS

Organisms used in these tests were cultured at Pace under controlled temperature and photo period conditions and/or were purchased from an external supplier. Pace maintains records of culture techniques for all organisms, whether produced in house or purchased.

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.



REFERENCE #60143259

Pace Analytical Services, Inc.
9608 Loiret Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219
Phone: 913.599.5665
Fax: 913.599.1759

RESULTS

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

TABLE 1

Permittee: CITY OF FORT SMITH (MASSARD) Effluent discharge.

Date Sampled No. 1: 4-22-13 8:00

No. 2: 4-24-13 8:00

No. 3: 4-26-13 8:00

Test Initiated: 14:45

Date: 4-23-13

Dilution Water used: Moderately Hard Synthetic Water

FATHEAD MINNOW LARVAE GROWTH AND SURVIVAL
(Pimephales promelas)

DATA TABLE FOR GROWTH OF FATHEAD MINNOWS

Effluent Concentration (%)	Average Dry Weight in Milligrams in Replicate Chambers					Mean Dry Weight (mg)	CV% *
	A	B	C	D	E		
Control 0%	0.352	0.412	0.472	0.449	0.432	0.423	6.56
Dilution 1 3%	0.386	0.462	0.449	0.489	0.452	0.448	5.22
Dilution 2 5%	0.415	0.441	0.406	0.402	0.469	0.427	3.99
Dilution 3 6%	0.435	0.449	0.414	0.491	0.440	0.446	3.90
Dilution 4 8%	0.533	0.417	0.487	0.448	0.361	0.449	9.04
Dilution 5 11%	0.430	0.438	0.465	0.416	0.456	0.441	2.74

* Coefficient of Variation = Standard Deviation X 100 / Mean

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

Permittee: CITY OF FORT SMITH (MASSARD) Effluent discharge.

FATHEAD MINNOW SURVIVAL

Conc. %	Percent Survival in Replicate Chambers					Mean Percent Survival			CV %
	A	B	C	D	E	24hr	48hr	7 day	
Control 0%	87.5	100	100	100	100	100	100	97.5	4.79
Dilution 1 3%	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	0.00
Dilution 2 5%	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	0.00
Dilution 3 6%	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	0.00
Dilution 4 8%	100	100	100	100	87.5	100	100	97.5	4.79
Dilution 5 11%	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	0.00

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

Permitted by the State of Missouri
 www.paceanalytical.com
 FORT SMITH (MASSARD) Effluent discharge.

CERIODAPHNIA SURVIVAL AND REPRODUCTION

DATA TABLE FOR CERIODAPHNIA YOUNG PRODUCTION

Replicate	Control 0%	Dilution 1 3%	Dilution 2 5%	Dilution 3 6%	Dilution 3 8%	Dilution 4 11%
1	24	22	22	20	18	18
2	19	21	25	30	25	22
3	26	20	23	21	25	18
4	23	19	20	25	27	14
5	28	20	12	24	17	19
6	21	21	22	13	27	20
7	15	22	22	21	17	28
8	25	24	19	22	26	24
9	19	15	28	21	16	26
10	26	22	24	24	25	26
Mean	22.6	20.6	21.7	22.1	22.3	21.5
SD	4.033	2.413	4.244	4.332	4.644	4.453
CV %	17.85	11.71	19.56	19.60	20.83	20.71

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
 without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

Permittee: CITY OF FORT SMITH (MASSARD) Effluent discharge.

CERIODAPHNIA MEAN PERCENT SURVIVAL

Percent Effluent (%)						
Time Elapsed	Control 0%	Dilution 1 3%	Dilution 2 5%	Dilution 3 6%	Dilution 4 8%	Dilution 5 11%
24 hrs	100	100	100	100	100	100
48 hrs	100	100	100	100	100	100
7-day	100	100	100	100	100	100
SD	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CV %	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

TABLE 2
SUMMARY OF TEST CONDITIONS FOR THE FATHEAD MINNOW
(*Pimephales promelas*) LARVAL SURVIVAL AND GROWTH TEST

1. Test type	Static renewal
2. Temperature	25 degrees Celsius
3. Light quality	Ambient laboratory light
4. Light intensity	Ambient laboratory levels
5. Photoperiod	16 hr light, 8 hr dark
6. Test chamber size	500 ml
7. Test solution volume	250 ml
8. Renewal of test concentrations	Daily
9. Age of test organism	< 24 hours
10. No. larvae/chamber	8
11. No. replicates/concentration	5
12. No. larvae/concentration	40
13. Feeding regime	Feed 0.1 ml newly hatched brine shrimp nauplii three times daily. Larvae are not fed 12 hours prior to termination of test.
14. Cleaning	Siphon daily, immediately before test solution renewal
15. Aeration	None

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

TABLE 2 (CONT.)

16. Dilution Water	Moderately Hard Synthetic Water prepared with MILLI-Q deionized water and reagent grade chemicals
17. Effluent concentrations	0%, 3%, 5%, 6%, 8%, 11%
18. Test duration	7 days
19. Endpoints	Survival and growth
20. Test acceptability	80% or greater survival in the controls, Average dry weight in controls >0.25 mg, Coefficient of variation in the control must not exceed 40%.

TABLE 2 (CONT.)
SUMMARY OF TEST CONDITIONS FOR THE CLADOCERAN
(Ceriodaphnia dubia) SURVIVAL AND REPRODUCTION TEST

1. Test type	Static renewal
2. Temperature	25 degrees Celsius
3. Light quality	Ambient laboratory light
4. Light intensity	Ambient laboratory levels
5. Photoperiod	16 hr light, 8 hr dark
6. Test chamber size	30 ml
7. Test solution volume	25 ml

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

TABLE 2 (CONT.)

8. Renewal of test concentrations	Daily
9. Age of test organism	< 24 hours
10. No. larvae/chamber	1
11. No. replicates/concentration	10
12. No. larvae/concentration	10
13. Feeding regime	Feed 0.1 ml YCT three times daily. Larvae are not fed 12 hours prior to termination of test.
14. Cleaning	Siphon daily, immediately before test solution renewal
15. Aeration	None
16. Dilution Water	Moderately Hard Synthetic Water prepared with MILLI-Q deionized water and reagent grade chemicals
17. Effluent concentrations	0%, 3%, 5%, 6%, 8%, 11%
18. Test duration	6 days - 8 days
19. Endpoints	Survival and Reproduction
20. Test acceptability	80% or greater survival in the controls, Average reproduction rate of 15 young / adult. Coefficient of variation in the control must not exceed 40%.

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

TABLE 2 (SECTION 2)

**BIOMONITORING CHRONIC TOXICITY REPORT
FATHEAD MINNOW (Pimephales promelas)
CHEMICAL PARAMETERS CHART**

Permittee: CITY OF FORT SMITH (MASSARD). Effluent discharge.

ANALYSTS: Pace Analytical Services, Inc.
Timothy Harrell
Mike Bollin

SAMPLE NO. 1 COLLECTED: DATE: 4-22-13

SAMPLE NO. 2 COLLECTED: DATE: 4-24-13

SAMPLE NO. 3 COLLECTED: DATE: 4-26-13

**TABLE 2 (SECTION 2)
INITIAL WATER QUALITY
EFFLUENT CONCENTRATION**

	Control	100%
PH	7.56	7.28
D.O.	8.30	8.00
Temp	25	25
Alk	58	124
Hard	92	100
Cond	376	388
Chlorine	<0.1	<0.1

- * D.O. is reported as mg/L
- Alkalinity is reported as mg/L CaCO₃
- Hardness is reported as mg/L CaCO₃
- Conductance is reported as umhos
- Chlorine is reported as mg/L

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

TEST WATER QUALITY

24-Hour Water Quality Measurements

Effluent Concentration (%)	PH	D.O. (mg/l)	Temperature (C)
0% Control	7.62	6.90	25
3% Effluent	7.68	6.90	25
5% Effluent	7.75	7.00	25
6% Effluent	7.75	7.00	25
8% Effluent	7.80	7.10	25
11% Effluent	7.82	7.20	25

48-Hour Water Quality Measurements

Effluent Concentration (%)	PH	D.O. (mg/l)	Temperature (C)
0% Control	7.87	7.00	25
3% Effluent	7.87	7.00	25
5% Effluent	7.88	7.10	25
6% Effluent	7.89	7.10	25
8% Effluent	7.89	7.10	25
11% Effluent	7.90	7.10	25

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

FINAL WATER QUALITY

EFFLUENT CONCENTRATION

	Control	11%
pH	7.69	7.74
D.O.	7.00	7.10
Temp	25	25
Alk	60	66
Hard	98	100
Cond	346	403

- * D.O. is reported as mg/L
- Alkalinity is reported as mg/L CaCO₃
- Hardness is reported as mg/L CaCO₃
- Conductance is reported as umhos

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

TEST VALIDITY

The Pimephales promelas control survival rate was 97.5%. The mean dry weight (growth) of the Pimephales promelas was determined at 0.423 mg/organism in the controls. The percent coefficient of variation (%CV) values for the fathead minnow control for survival and growth were 4.79 and 6.56. The Ceriodaphnia dubia survival rates were 100 in the control. The Ceriodaphnia in the control produced an average of 22.6 young over the seven-day exposure period. Percent CV values for Ceriodaphnia dubia control survival and reproduction was 0.00 and 17.85. Control data met or exceeded all criteria set out by EPA 821-R-02-013 for test acceptance.

CONCLUSIONS

The No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) for Pimephales promelas was 11% for survival and 11% for growth. The No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) for Ceriodaphnia dubia was 11% for Survival and 11% for Reproduction. The tests were ran using a synthetic control against effluent concentrations of 3%, 5%, 6%, 8%, and 11%. The effluent sampled on 4-22-13, 4-24-13, and 4-26-13 exhibited acceptable chronic toxicity in Pimephales promelas and in Ceriodaphnia dubia during the exposure period as described in EPA 821-R-02-013.

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

APPENDIX A STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

60143259 Ft Smith FATHEAD SURVIVAL

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259A.

Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies

INTERVAL	<-1.5	-1.5 to <-0.5	-0.5 to 0.5	>0.5 to 1.5	>1.5
EXPECTED	2.010	7.260	11.460	7.260	2.010
OBSERVED	2	0	28	0	0

Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 40.4019

Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277

Data FAIL normality test. Try another transformation.

Warning - The first three homogeneity tests are sensitive to non-normal data and should not be performed.

60143259 Ft Smith FATHEAD SURVIVAL

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259A.

Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

Shapiro - Wilk's test for normality

D = 0.022

W = 0.547

Critical W (P = 0.05) (n = 30) = 0.927

Critical W (P = 0.01) (n = 30) = 0.900

Data FAIL normality test. Try another transformation.

Warning - The first three homogeneity tests are sensitive to non-normal data and should not be performed.

60143259 Ft Smith FATHEAD SURVIVAL

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259A.

Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

SUMMARY STATISTICS ON TRANSFORMED DATA TABLE 1 of 2

GRP	IDENTIFICATION	N	MIN	MAX	MEAN
1	CONTROL	5	0.991	1.107	1.084
2	3%	5	1.107	1.107	1.107
3	5%	5	1.107	1.107	1.107
4	6%	5	1.107	1.107	1.107
5	8%	5	0.991	1.107	1.084
6	11%	5	1.107	1.107	1.107

60143259 Ft Smith FATHEAD SURVIVAL

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259A.

Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

SUMMARY STATISTICS ON TRANSFORMED DATA TABLE 2 of 2

GRP	IDENTIFICATION	VARIANCE	SD	SEM	C.V. %
1	CONTROL	0.003	0.052	0.023	4.79
2	3%	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00
3	5%	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00
4	6%	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00
5	8%	0.003	0.052	0.023	4.79
6	11%	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00

60143259 Ft Smith FATHEAD SURVIVAL

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259A.

Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

STEEL'S MANY-ONE RANK TEST

Ho:Control<Treatment

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	RANK SUM	CRIT. VALUE	df	SIG
1	CONTROL	1.084				
2	3%	1.107	30.00	16.00	5.00	
3	5%	1.107	30.00	16.00	5.00	
4	6%	1.107	30.00	16.00	5.00	
5	8%	1.084	27.50	16.00	5.00	
6	11%	1.107	30.00	16.00	5.00	

Critical values use $k = 5$, are 1 tailed, and $\alpha = 0.05$

60143259 Ft Smith FATHEAD GROWTH

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259B.

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Shapiro - Wilk's test for normality

D = 0.039

W = 0.977

Critical W (P = 0.05) (n = 30) = 0.927

Critical W (P = 0.01) (n = 30) = 0.900

Data PASS normality test at P=0.01 level. Continue analysis.

60143259 Ft Smith FATHEAD GROWTH

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259B.

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Bartlett's test for homogeneity of variance
Calculated B1 statistic = 6.54

Table Chi-square value = 15.09 (alpha = 0.01, df = 5)
Table Chi-square value = 11.07 (alpha = 0.05, df = 5)

Data PASS B1 homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

60143259 Ft Smith FATHEAD GROWTH

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259B.

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	5	0.003	0.001	0.382
Within (Error)	24	0.039	0.002	
Total	29	0.042		

Critical F value = 2.62 (0.05,5,24)

Since $F < \text{Critical } F$ FAIL TO REJECT H_0 : All equal

60143259 Ft Smith FATHEAD GROWTH

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259B.

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

DUNNETT'S TEST - TABLE 1 OF 2

Ho:Control<Treatment

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1	CONTROL	0.423	0.423		
2	3%	0.448	0.448	-0.946	
3	5%	0.427	0.427	-0.125	
4	6%	0.446	0.446	-0.876	
5	8%	0.449	0.449	-1.009	
6	11%	0.441	0.441	-0.688	

Dunnett table value = 2.36 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=24,5)

60143259 Ft Smith FATHEAD GROWTH

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259B.

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

DUNNETT'S TEST - TABLE 2 OF 2

Ho:Control<Treatment

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	CONTROL	5			
2	3%	5	0.060	14.3	-0.024
3	5%	5	0.060	14.3	-0.003
4	6%	5	0.060	14.3	-0.022
5	8%	5	0.060	14.3	-0.026
6	11%	5	0.060	14.3	-0.018

FISHER'S EXACT TEST

IDENTIFICATION	NUMBER OF		
	ALIVE	DEAD	TOTAL ANIMALS
CONTROL	10	0	10
3%	10	0	10
TOTAL	20	0	20

CRITICAL FISHER'S VALUE (10,10,10) ($p=0.05$) IS 6. b VALUE IS 10.
 Since b is greater than 6 there is no significant difference
 between CONTROL and TREATMENT at the 0.05 level.

FISHER'S EXACT TEST

IDENTIFICATION	NUMBER OF		
	ALIVE	DEAD	TOTAL ANIMALS
CONTROL	10	0	10
5%	10	0	10
TOTAL	20	0	20

CRITICAL FISHER'S VALUE (10,10,10) ($p=0.05$) IS 6. b VALUE IS 10.
 Since b is greater than 6 there is no significant difference
 between CONTROL and TREATMENT at the 0.05 level.

FISHER'S EXACT TEST

IDENTIFICATION	NUMBER OF		
	ALIVE	DEAD	TOTAL ANIMALS
CONTROL	10	0	10
6%	10	0	10

TOTAL 20 0 20

CRITICAL FISHER'S VALUE (10,10,10) (p=0.05) IS 6. b VALUE IS 10.
 Since b is greater than 6 there is no significant difference
 between CONTROL and TREATMENT at the 0.05 level.

FISHER'S EXACT TEST

IDENTIFICATION	NUMBER OF		
	ALIVE	DEAD	TOTAL ANIMALS
CONTROL	10	0	10
8%	10	0	10
TOTAL	20	0	20

CRITICAL FISHER'S VALUE (10,10,10) (p=0.05) IS 6. b VALUE IS 10.
 Since b is greater than 6 there is no significant difference
 between CONTROL and TREATMENT at the 0.05 level.

FISHER'S EXACT TEST

IDENTIFICATION	NUMBER OF		
	ALIVE	DEAD	TOTAL ANIMALS
CONTROL	10	0	10
11%	10	0	10
TOTAL	20	0	20

CRITICAL FISHER'S VALUE (10,10,10) (p=0.05) IS 6. b VALUE IS 10.
 Since b is greater than 6 there is no significant difference
 between CONTROL and TREATMENT at the 0.05 level.

SUMMARY OF FISHER'S EXACT TESTS

NUMBER	NUMBER	SIG
--------	--------	-----

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	EXPOSED	DEAD	(P=.05)
	CONTROL	10	0	
1	3%	10	0	
2	5%	10	0	
3	6%	10	0	
4	8%	10	0	
5	11%	10	0	

60143259 Ft Smith CERIODAPHNIA DUBIA REPRODU

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259E.

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Chi-square test for normality: actual and expected frequencies

INTERVAL	<-1.5	-1.5 to <-0.5	-0.5 to 0.5	>0.5 to 1.5	>1.5
EXPECTED	4.020	14.520	22.920	14.520	4.020
OBSERVED	5	11	21	22	1

Calculated Chi-Square goodness of fit test statistic = 7.3752

Table Chi-Square value (alpha = 0.01) = 13.277

Data PASS normality test. Continue analysis.

60143259 Ft Smith CERIODAPHNIA DUBIA REPRODU

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259E.

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Bartlett's test for homogeneity of variance
Calculated B1 statistic = 4.00

Table Chi-square value = 15.09 (alpha = 0.01, df = 5)
Table Chi-square value = 11.07 (alpha = 0.05, df = 5)

Data PASS B1 homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

60143259 Ft Smith CERIODAPHNIA DUBIA REPRODU

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259E.

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

SUMMARY STATISTICS ON TRANSFORMED DATA TABLE 1 of 2

GRP	IDENTIFICATION	N	MIN	MAX	MEAN
1	CONTROL	10	15.000	28.000	22.600
2	3%	10	15.000	24.000	20.600
3	5%	10	12.000	28.000	21.700
4	6%	10	13.000	30.000	22.100
5	8%	10	16.000	27.000	22.300
6	11%	10	14.000	28.000	21.500

60143259 Ft Smith CERIODAPHNIA DUBIA REPRODU

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259E.

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

SUMMARY STATISTICS ON TRANSFORMED DATA TABLE 2 of 2

GRP	IDENTIFICATION	VARIANCE	SD	SEM	C.V. %
1	CONTROL	16.267	4.033	1.275	17.85
2	3%	5.822	2.413	0.763	11.71
3	5%	18.011	4.244	1.342	19.56
4	6%	18.767	4.332	1.370	19.60
5	8%	21.567	4.644	1.469	20.83
6	11%	19.833	4.453	1.408	20.71

60143259 Ft Smith CERIODAPHNIA DUBIA REPRODU

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259E.

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between	5	25.200	5.040	0.302
Within (Error)	54	902.400	16.711	
Total	59	927.600		

Critical F value = 2.45 (0.05,5,40)

Since $F < \text{Critical } F$ FAIL TO REJECT H_0 : All equal

60143259 Ft Smith CERIODAPHNIA DUBIA REPRODU

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259E.

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

DUNNETT'S TEST - TABLE 1 OF 2

Ho:Control<Treatment

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1	CONTROL	22.600	22.600		
2	3%	20.600	20.600	1.094	
3	5%	21.700	21.700	0.492	
4	6%	22.100	22.100	0.273	
5	8%	22.300	22.300	0.164	
6	11%	21.500	21.500	0.602	

Dunnett table value = 2.31 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=40,5)

60143259 Ft Smith CERIODAPHNIA DUBIA REPRODU

File: C:\TOXSTAT\6143259E.

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

DUNNETT'S TEST - TABLE 2 OF 2

Ho:Control<Treatment

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	CONTROL	10			
2	3%	10	4.223	18.7	2.000
3	5%	10	4.223	18.7	0.900
4	6%	10	4.223	18.7	0.500
5	8%	10	4.223	18.7	0.300
6	11%	10	4.223	18.7	1.100

Conc. ID	1	2	3	4	5	6
Conc. Tested	0	3	5	6	8	11
Response 1	.352	.386	.415	.435	.533	.430
Response 2	.412	.462	.441	.449	.417	.438
Response 3	.472	.449	.406	.414	.487	.465
Response 4	.449	.489	.402	.491	.448	.416
Response 5	.432	.452	.469	.440	.361	.456

*** Inhibition Concentration Percentage Estimate ***

Toxicant/Effluent: Ft Smith

Test Start Date: 4/23/13 Test Ending Date: 4/30/13

Test Species: Fathead

Test Duration: 7 Day

DATA FILE:

Conc. ID	Number Replicates	Concentration	Response Means	Std. Dev.	Pooled Response Means
1	5	0.000	0.423	0.046	0.439
2	5	3.000	0.448	0.038	0.439
3	5	5.000	0.427	0.028	0.439
4	5	6.000	0.446	0.028	0.439
5	5	8.000	0.449	0.066	0.439
6	5	11.000	0.441	0.020	0.439

*** No Linear Interpolation Estimate can be calculated from the input data since none of the (possibly pooled) group response means were less than 75% of the control response mean.

Conc. ID	1	2	3	4	5	6
Conc. Tested	0	3	5	6	8	11
Response 1	24	22	22	20	18	18
Response 2	19	21	25	30	25	22
Response 3	26	20	23	21	25	18
Response 4	23	19	20	25	27	14
Response 5	28	20	12	24	17	19
Response 6	21	21	22	13	27	20
Response 7	15	22	22	21	17	28
Response 8	25	24	19	22	26	24
Response 9	19	15	28	21	16	26
Response 10	26	22	24	24	25	26

*** Inhibition Concentration Percentage Estimate ***

Toxicant/Effluent: Ft Smith

Test Start Date: 4/23/13 Test Ending Date: 4/30/13

Test Species: Dubia

Test Duration: 7 Day

DATA FILE:

Conc. ID	Number Replicates	Concentration	Response Means	Std. Dev.	Pooled Response Means
1	10	0.000	22.600	4.033	22.600
2	10	3.000	20.600	2.413	21.675
3	10	5.000	21.700	4.244	21.675
4	10	6.000	22.100	4.332	21.675
5	10	8.000	22.300	4.644	21.675
6	10	11.000	21.500	4.453	21.500

*** No Linear Interpolation Estimate can be calculated from the input data since none of the (possibly pooled) group response means were less than 75% of the control response mean.

APPENDIX B
CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORMS

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY / Analytical Request Document

The Chain-of-Custody is a LEGAL DOCUMENT. All relevant fields must be completed accurately.

Page: 1 of 1
1635355

Section A Required Client Information:	Section B Required Project Information:	Section C Invoice Information:	
Company: <u>City of Fort Smith</u>	Report To: <u>Lance McAvoy</u>	Attention: <u>Lance McAvoy</u>	
Address: <u>3900 Keller Hwy</u>	Copy To:	Company Name: <u>City of Fort Smith</u>	REGULATORY AGENCY
<u>Fort Smith, AR 72904</u>		Address: <u>3900 Keller Hwy, Ft. Smith, AR</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> NPDES <input type="checkbox"/> GROUND WATER <input type="checkbox"/> DRINKING WATER
Email To:	Purchase Order No.:	Pace Quote Reference:	<input type="checkbox"/> UST <input type="checkbox"/> RCRA <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER _____
Phone: <u>479-784-2327</u> Fax:	Project Name: <u>Massard WWP Bioremediation</u>	Pace Project Manager:	Site Location: <u>AR</u>
Requested Due Date/TAT:	Project Number:	Pace Profile #:	STATE: <u>AR</u>

ITEM #	Section D Required Client Information	Matrix Codes MATRIX / CODE	COLLECTED				SAMPLE TEMP AT COLLECTION	# OF CONTAINERS	Preservatives								Analysis Test (Y/N)	Residual Chlorine (Y/N)	Pace Project No./ Lab I.D.		
			COMPOSITE START		COMPOSITE END/GRAB				Unpreserved	H ₂ SO ₄	HNO ₃	HCl	NaOH	Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃	Methanol	Other					
			DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME															
1	Massard Effluent	WWC	4/24/13	0800	4/24/13	0800	1														
2																					
3																					
4																					
5																					
6																					
7																					
8																					
9																					
10																					
11																					
12																					

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	RELINQUISHED BY / AFFILIATION	DATE	TIME	ACCEPTEDE BY / AFFILIATION	DATE	TIME	SAMPLE CONDITIONS
T. Cl ₂ = 0.06 mg/L F. Cl ₂ = 0.04 mg/L	John Hancock / City of Fort Smith	4/24/13	1200	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/25/13	1625	Y Y Y

ORIGINAL

SAMPLER NAME AND SIGNATURE		Temp in °C	Received on Ice (Y/N)	Custody Sealed Cooler (Y/N)	Samples Intact (Y/N)
PRINT Name of SAMPLER:	<u>John Hancock</u>				
SIGNATURE of SAMPLER:	<i>[Signature]</i>				
DATE Signed (MM/DD/YY):	<u>4/24/13</u>				

*Important Note: By signing this form you are accepting Pace's NET 30 day payment terms and agreeing to late charges of 1.5% per month for any invoices not paid within 30 days.

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY / Analytical Request Document

The Chain-of-Custody is a LEGAL DOCUMENT. All relevant fields must be completed accurately.

Page: 1 of 1
1644798

Section A Required Client Information:		Section B Required Project Information:		Section C Invoice Information:	
Company: <u>City of Ft. Smith</u>		Report To: <u>Lance McAvoy</u>		Attention: <u>Lance McAvoy</u>	
Address: <u>3900 Kelley Hwy</u> <u>Ft. Smith, AR 72504</u>		Copy To:		Company Name: <u>City Ft. Smith</u>	
Email To:		Purchase Order No.:		Address: <u>3900 Kelley Hwy, Ft. Smith, AR</u>	
Phone: <u>479-784-2337</u> Fax:		Project Name: <u>Massard WWTP Remediation</u>		Pace Quote Reference:	
Requested Due Date/TAT:		Project Number:		Pace Project Manager:	
				Pace Profile #:	
				REGULATORY AGENCY	
				<input type="checkbox"/> NPDES <input type="checkbox"/> GROUND WATER <input type="checkbox"/> DRINKING WATER <input type="checkbox"/> UST <input type="checkbox"/> RCRA <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	
				Site Location	
				STATE: <u>AR</u>	

ITEM #	Section D Required Client Information	Matrix Codes MATRIX / CODE	MATRIX CODE (see valid codes to left)	SAMPLE TYPE (G=GRAB C=COMP)	COLLECTED				SAMPLE TEMP AT COLLECTION	# OF CONTAINERS	Preservatives								Analysis Test ↓	Requested Analysis Filtered (Y/N)	Residual Chlorine (Y/N)	Pace Project No./ Lab I.D.				
					COMPOSITE START		COMPOSITE END/GRAB				Unpreserved	H ₂ SO ₄	HNO ₃	HCl	NaOH	Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃	Methanol	Other					Y/N			
					DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME																		
1	<u>Massard Effluent</u>		<u>WC</u>		<u>4/25/13</u>	<u>0800</u>	<u>4/26/13</u>	<u>0800</u>		1																
2																										
3																										
4																										
5																										
6																										
7																										
8																										
9																										
10																										
11																										
12																										

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	RELINQUISHED BY / AFFILIATION	DATE	TIME	ACCEPTEE BY / AFFILIATION	DATE	TIME	SAMPLE CONDITIONS
<u>T. Cl₂ = 0.03 mg/L</u> <u>F. Cl₂ = 0.02 mg/L</u>	<u>John Hancock / City of Ft. Smith</u>	<u>4/26/13</u>	<u>1200</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4/27/13</u>	<u>1045</u>	<u>S.O. Y. Y. Y</u>

ORIGINAL

SAMPLER NAME AND SIGNATURE		Temp in °C	Received on ice (Y/N)	Custody Sealed Cooler (Y/N)	Samples intact (Y/N)
PRINT Name of SAMPLER: <u>John Hancock</u>	DATE Signed (MM/DD/YY): <u>4/26/13</u>				
SIGNATURE of SAMPLER: <u>[Signature]</u>					

Important Note: By signing this form you are accepting Pace's NET 30 day payment terms and agreeing to late charges of 1.5% per month for any invoices not paid within 30 days.

AMRS

APPENDIX C

REFERENCE TOXICANTS SUMMARY

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

The absence of significant control mortality during this test indicated the health of the organisms and indicated that any significant mortality in the test concentrations was not due to contaminants or variations in testing conditions.

Reference toxicity testing is routinely performed by staff members in our biomonitoring - bioassay laboratory.

Start: 4/11/13 12:00 End: 4/18/13 10:30

Reference Toxicant (NaCl) Pimephales promelas

Concentration of Toxicant	Avg. # of Live Organisms/replicate			
	0 hrs	24 hrs	48 hrs	7 days
10 g/l	40	9	3	0
8 g/l	40	39	30	5
6 g/l	40	40	37	23
4 g/l	40	40	40	39
2 g/l	40	40	40	40

IC25 (4.99 g/l Sodium Chloride)

Survival NOEC: 4.0 g/l

Reference Toxicant (NaCl) Ceriodaphnia Dubia

Concentration of Toxicant	Avg. # of Live Organisms/replicate			
	0 hrs	24 hrs	48 hrs	7 days
2.5 g/l	10	4	0	0
2.0 g/l	10	10	8	1
1.5 g/l	10	10	10	10
1.0 g/l	10	10	10	10
0.5 g/l	10	10	10	10

IC25 (1.19 g/l Sodium Chloride)

Survival NOEC: 1.5 g/l

Submitted By: 
Timothy Harrell, Technical Director

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

APPENDIX D
STATE AGENCY FORMS

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

Biomonitoring Form
Chronic Toxicity Summary Form
Pimephales promelas
Chemical Parameters Chart

Permittee: City of Fort Smith
 NPDES No.: AR 0021750
 Contact: Lance McAvoy
 Analyst: Tim Harrell
 Mike Bollin

Sample No. 1 Collected: Date: 4/22/2013 Time: 8:00
 Sample No. 2 Collected: Date: 4/24/2013 Time: 8:00
 Sample No. 3 Collected: Date: 4/26/2013 Time: 8:00
 Test Begin: Date: 4/23/2013 Time: 14:45
 Test End: Date: 4/30/2013 Time: 14:00

Dilution: 0									Dilution: 6								
Day:									Day:								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Comments		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Comments
Temp (C)	25	25	25	25	25	25	25		Temp (C)	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
DO Initial	8.3	8	8	8.2	8	8	8.1		DO Initial		8.1	8.1	8.2	8.1	8.1	8.1	
DO Final	6.9	7	7.1	7	7	7.2	7		DO Final	7	7.1	7.2	7.1	7.1	7.2	7.1	
pH Initial	7.56	7.58	7.6	7.42	7.54	7.57	7.5		pH Initial		7.7	7.68	7.45	7.62	7.63	7.53	
pH Final	7.62	7.87	7.64	7.7	7.6	7.65	7.69		pH Final	7.75	7.89	7.84	7.77	7.69	7.72	7.7	
Alkalinity	58								Alkalinity								
Hardness	92								Hardness								
Conductivity	376								Conductivity								
Chlorine	<1						<1		Chlorine								

Dilution: 3									Dilution: 8								
Day:									Day:								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Comments		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Comments
Temp (C)	25	25	25	25	25	25	25		Temp (C)	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
DO Initial		8	8.7	8.2	8	8	8.1		DO Initial		8.1	8.1	8.2	8.1	8.1	8.1	
DO Final	6.9	7	7.1	7	7	7.2	7		DO Final	7.1	7.1	7.2	7.1	7.2	7.3	7.1	
pH Initial		7.65	7.63	7.43	7.6	7.62	7.52		pH Initial		7.74	7.7	7.46	7.63	7.65	7.58	
pH Final	7.68	7.87	7.69	7.76	7.66	7.69	7.69		pH Final	7.8	7.89	7.86	7.79	7.72	7.75	7.71	
Alkalinity									Alkalinity								
Hardness									Hardness								
Conductivity									Conductivity								
Chlorine									Chlorine								

Dilution: 5									Dilution: 11								
Day:									Day:								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Comments		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Comments
Temp (C)	25	25	25	25	25	25	25		Temp (C)	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	Init. 100%
DO Initial		8.1	8.1	8.2	8	8.1	8.1		DO Initial		8.2	8.1	8.2	8.1	8.2	8.1	8
DO Final	7	7.1	7.2	7.1	7.1	7.2	7.1		DO Final	7.2	7.1	7.3	7.2	7.2	7.3	7.1	
pH Initial		7.68	7.65	7.45	7.62	7.63	7.53		pH Initial		7.76	7.73	7.49	7.65	7.66	7.6	7.28
pH Final	7.73	7.88	7.8	7.44	7.67	7.7	7.7		pH Final	7.82	7.9	7.94	7.81	7.74	7.76	7.74	
Alkalinity									Alkalinity								124
Hardness									Hardness								100
Conductivity									Conductivity								388
Chlorine									Chlorine							<1	<1

**Summary Reporting Forms Chronic Biomonitoring
Fathead Minnow Larvae Growth and Survival
(Pimephales promelas)**

Permittee: City of Fort Smith

NPDES No.:

AR 0021750

	Time:	Date:		Time:	Date:
Composite 1 Collected	From 8:00	4/21/2013	To	8:00	4/22/2013

Composite 2 Collected	From 8:00	4/23/2013	To	8:00	4/24/2013
-----------------------	------------------	-----------	-----------	------	-----------

Composite 3 Collected	From 8:00	4/25/2013	To	8:00	4/26/2013
-----------------------	------------------	-----------	-----------	------	-----------

Test initiated: am/pm 14:45 PM date 4/23/2013
 Test terminated: am/pm 14:00 PM date 4/30/2013

Dilution water used: Receiving Reconstituted X

Data Table for Survival

Effluent Conc. %	Percent Survival in Replicate Chambers					Mean Percent Survival			CV%*
	A	B	C	D	E	24h	48h	7 days	
Syn 0 %	87.5	100	100	100	100	100	100	97.5	4.79
3%	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	0
5%	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	0
6%	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	0
8%	100	100	100	100	87.5	100	100	97.5	4.79
11%	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	0

Data Table for Survival

Effluent Conc. %	Average Dry Weight in milligrams in Replicate Chambers					Mean Dry Weight mg	CV%*
	A	B	C	D	E		
Syn. 0%	0.352	0.412	0.472	0.449	0.432	0.423	6.56
3%	0.386	0.462	0.449	0.489	0.452	0.448	5.22
5%	0.415	0.441	0.406	0.402	0.469	0.427	3.99
6%	0.435	0.449	0.414	0.491	0.44	0.446	3.9
8%	0.533	0.417	0.487	0.448	0.361	0.449	9.04
11%	0.43	0.438	0.465	0.416	0.456	0.441	2.74

*coefficient of variation = standard deviation x 100/mean.

Fathead Minnow Larvae Growth and Survival (cont)
(Pimephales promelas)

1. Dunnett's Procedure or Steels Many-One Rank Test as appropriate:

Is the mean survival at 7 days significantly different ($p=.05$) than the control survival for the % effluent corresponding to:

a) Low Flow or Critical Dilution	(8 %):	Yes:	No: X
b) 1/2 Low Flow Dilution	(%):	Yes:	No:

2. Dunnett's Procedure (or appropriate test):

Is the mean dry weight (growth) of the effluent at 7 days significantly different ($p=0.05$) than the control's dry weight for the % effluent corresponding to (significant non-lethal effects):

a) Low Flow or Critical Dilution	(8 %):	Yes:	No: X
b) 1/2 Low Flow Dilution	(%):	Yes:	No:

3. If you answered NO to 1. a) and 2. a) enter (0) otherwise enter (1): 0

4. If you answered NO to 1. b) and 2. b) enter (0) otherwise enter (1):

5. Enter response to item 3 on DMR Form, parameter #TEP6C.

6. Enter response to item 4 on DMR Form, parameter #TFP6C.

7. Enter percent effluent corresponding to each NOEC below and circle lowest number:

a) NOEC survival:	11 % effluent
b) NOEC reproduction:	11 % effluent

Biomonitoring Form
 Chronic Toxicity Summary Form
Ceriodaphnia dubia
 Chemical Parameters Chart

Permittee: City of Fort Smith
 NPDES No.: AR 0021750
 Contact: Lance McAvoy
 Analyst: Tim Harrell
 Mike Bollin

Sample No. 1 Collected: Date: 4/22/2013 Time: 8:00
 Sample No. 2 Collected: Date: 4/24/2013 Time: 8:00
 Sample No. 3 Collected: Date: 4/26/2013 Time: 8:00
 Test Begin: Date: 4/23/2013 Time: 14:45
 Test End: Date: 4/30/2013 Time: 14:00

Dilution: 0									Dilution: 6								
Day:									Day:								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Comments		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Comments
Temp (C)	25	25	25	25	25	25	25		Temp (C)	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
DO Initial	8.3	8	8	8.2	8	8	8.1		DO Initial		8.1	8.1	8.2	8.1	8.1	8.1	
DO Final	6.9	7	7.1	7	7	7.2	7		DO Final	7	7.1	7.2	7.1	7.1	7.2	7.1	
pH Initial	7.56	7.58	7.6	7.42	7.54	7.57	7.5		pH Initial		7.7	7.68	7.45	7.62	7.63	7.53	
pH Final	7.62	7.87	7.64	7.7	7.6	7.65	7.69		pH Final	7.75	7.89	7.84	7.77	7.69	7.72	7.7	
Alkalinity	58								Alkalinity								
Hardness	92								Hardness								
Conductivity	376								Conductivity								
Chlorine	<.1						<.1		Chlorine								

Dilution: 3									Dilution: 8								
Day:									Day:								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Comments		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Comments
Temp (C)	25	25	25	25	25	25	25		Temp (C)	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
DO Initial		8	8.7	8.2	8	8	8.1		DO Initial		8.1	8.1	8.2	8.1	8.1	8.1	
DO Final	6.9	7	7.1	7	7	7.2	7		DO Final	7.1	7.1	7.2	7.1	7.2	7.3	7.1	
pH Initial		7.65	7.63	7.43	7.6	7.62	7.52		pH Initial		7.74	7.7	7.46	7.63	7.65	7.58	
pH Final	7.68	7.87	7.69	7.76	7.66	7.69	7.69		pH Final	7.8	7.89	7.86	7.79	7.72	7.75	7.71	
Alkalinity									Alkalinity								
Hardness									Hardness								
Conductivity									Conductivity								
Chlorine									Chlorine								

Dilution: 5									Dilution: 11								
Day:									Day:								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Comments		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Comments
Temp (C)	25	25	25	25	25	25	25		Temp (C)	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	Init. 100%
DO Initial		8.1	8.1	8.2	8	8.1	8.1		DO Initial		8.2	8.1	8.2	8.1	8.2	8.1	8
DO Final	7	7.1	7.2	7.1	7.1	7.2	7.1		DO Final	7.2	7.1	7.3	7.2	7.2	7.3	7.1	
pH Initial		7.68	7.65	7.45	7.62	7.63	7.53		pH Initial		7.76	7.73	7.49	7.65	7.66	7.6	7.28
pH Final	7.73	7.88	7.8	7.44	7.67	7.7	7.7		pH Final	7.82	7.9	7.94	7.81	7.74	7.76	7.74	
Alkalinity									Alkalinity								124
Hardness									Hardness								100
Conductivity									Conductivity								388
Chlorine									Chlorine							<.1	<.1

**Summary Reporting Forms
Chronic Biomonitoring**

Ceriodaphnia dubia Survival and Reproduction

Permittee: City of Fort Smith NPDES No.: AR 0021750

Composite 1 Collected	From	Time: 8:00	Date: 4/21/2013	To	Time: 8:00	Date: 4/22/2013

Composite 2 Collected	From	8:00	4/23/2013	To	8:00	4/24/2013
-----------------------	-------------	------	-----------	-----------	------	-----------

Composite 3 Collected	From	8:00	4/25/2013	To	8:00	4/26/2013
-----------------------	-------------	------	-----------	-----------	------	-----------

Test initiated: am/pm 14:45 PM date 4/23/2013
 Test terminated: am/pm 14:00 PM date 4/30/2013

Dilution water used: Receiving Reconstituted X

Percent Survival

Time of Reading	Percent Effluent					
	0	3	5	6	8	11
24h	100	100	100	100	100	100
48h	100	100	100	100	100	100
End of test	100	100	100	100	100	100

Number of Young Produced per Female @ End of Test

Rep	0	3	5	6	8	11
A	24	22	22	20	18	18
B	19	21	25	30	25	22
C	26	20	23	21	25	18
D	23	19	20	25	27	14
E	28	20	12	24	17	19
F	21	21	22	13	27	20
G	15	22	22	21	17	28
H	25	24	19	22	26	24
I	19	15	28	21	16	26
J	26	22	24	24	25	26
Mean	22.6	20.6	21.7	22.1	22.3	21.5
CV%*	17.85	11.71	19.56	19.6	20.83	20.71

*coefficient of variation = standard deviation x 100/mean.

Ceriodaphnia dubia
Survival and Reproduction (cont)

1. Fisher's Exact Test:

Is the mean survival at the end of the test significantly different ($p=.05$) than the control survival for the % effluent corresponding to (lethality):

a) Low Flow or Critical Dilution	(8 %):	Yes:	No: X
b) 1/2 Low Flow Dilution	(%):	Yes:	No:

2. Dunnett's Procedure or Steel's Many-One Rank Test as appropriate:

Is the mean number of young produced per female significantly different ($p=.05$) than the control's number of young per female for the % effluent corresponding to (significant non-lethal effects):

a) Low Flow or Critical Dilution	(8 %):	Yes:	No: X
b) 1/2 Low Flow Dilution	(%):	Yes:	No:

3. If you answered NO to 1. a) and 2. a) enter (0) otherwise enter (1): 0

4. If you answered NO to 1. b) and 2. b) enter (0) otherwise enter (1):

5. Enter response to item 3 on DMR Form, parameter #TEP3B.

6. Enter response to item 4 on DMR Form, parameter #TFP3B.

7. Enter percent effluent corresponding to each NOEC below and circle lowest number:

a) NOEC survival:	11 % effluent
b) NOEC reproduction:	11 % effluent